IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

TUESDAY, THE 2ND JANUARY 2007 / 12TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 196 of 2007(W)

PETITIONERS:

---

1. P.SREEGANESAN PILLAI,
   METER READER, ELECTRICAL SECTION,
   KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
   SAKTHIKULANGARA, KOLLAM.

2. J.SURESH KUMAR,
   METER READER, ELECTRICAL SECTION,
   KSEB, PERINAD, KOLLAM.

3. SACHU G.,
   METER READER, KSEB,
   ELECTRICAL SECTION, AYATHIL, KOLLAM.

4. G.VIKRAMAN PILLAI,
   METER READER, ELECTRICAL SECTION, KSEB,
   PERINAD, KOLLAM.

5. RASHEED S.,
   METER READER, ELECTRICAL SECTION, KSEB,
   CHEMMANAD, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

6. SIRAJUDEEN M.,
   METER READER, ELECTRICAL SECTION,
   KSEB, PATHANAPURAM.

7. S.CHEMBAKAM,
   METER READER, ELECTRICAL SECTION, KSEB,
   OLAYIL, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.V.THAMBAN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE CHIEF ENGINEER, (HRM),
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. ANTONY WILFRED,
METER READER,
ELECTRICAL SECTION, KSEB,
PERINAD, KOLLAM.

BY SC SRI.K.S.ANIL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO. 196 of 2007

Dated this the 2nd day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioners are working as Meter Readers under the respondent Board. Exts.P4 to P10 representations filed by them are pending with the second respondent. The request made by the petitioners is that they should be given the benefit of promotion to the post of Meter Reader with effect from the date of occurrence of vacancy and not from the date of order of promotion.

2. Legal Laision Officer for the respondents 1 and 2 submits that the grievance of the petitioners will be looked into and appropriate decision will be taken.

3. in the above circumstances, it is not necessary to issue notice to respondents 3 and 4.

4. The writ petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to afford an opportunity of being heard to the first petitioner on his behalf as also on behalf of the other petitioners and to the 3rd and 4th respondents and to take appropriate decision, in accordance with law, within two months on the first petitioner producing a copy of the judgment.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

css/